



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,790	09/662,790 09/15/2000		Mark Chandler	215063.02301	2090
35617	7590 10	0/28/2005		EXAMINER	
DAFFER MCDANEIL LLP			CLOW, LORI A		
P.O. BOX 68					
AUSTIN, TX 78768				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1631	
	•		,	DATE MAIL ED: 10/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.   Applicant(s)/Patent under   Reexamination		
	09/662,790	CHANDLER ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
	Ardin Marschel	1631	
Document Code - AP.PRE	.DEC		

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>8/29/05</u>.

1. Improper Request – The Freason(s):	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains un is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal h from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period office of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
<ul> <li>☑ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed:</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to:</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,40, &amp; Claim(s) withdrawn from contact.</li> </ul>	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Ardin Marschel(SPE).	(3)Lori Clow (Exr.).
(2) <u>Gary Benzion (conferree SPE)</u> .	(4) <u>Marjorie Moran (Exr.)</u> . In I Marsh 10/26/05

A